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Abstract. In this paper we present a brief description of a ladder operator formalism
applied to orthogonal polynomials with discontinuous weights. The two coefficient
functions, $A_n(z)$ and $B_n(z)$, appearing in the ladder operators satisfy the two
fundamental compatibility conditions previously derived for smooth weights. If the
weight is a product of an absolutely continuous reference weight $w_0$ and a standard
jump function, then $A_n(z)$ and $B_n(z)$ have apparent simple poles at these jumps. We
exemplify the approach by taking $w_0$ to be the Hermite weight. For this simpler case
we derive, without using the compatibility conditions, a pair of difference equations
satisfied by the diagonal and off-diagonal recurrence coefficients for a fixed location
of the jump. We also derive a pair of Toda evolution equations for the recurrence
coefficients which, when combined with the difference equations, yields a particular
Painlevé IV.
In this paper we describe a formalism which will facilitate the determination of the recurrence coefficients for polynomials with singular weights. Two points of view lead to this problem: On one hand the X-ray problem 1 of condensed matter theory, on the other hand related problems in random matrix theory which involve the asymptotics of the Fredholm determinant of finite convolution operators with discontinuous symbols 8. This paper is the first in a series that systematically study orthogonal polynomial where

The deformed weight with one jump is

\[ w(x) = w_0(x) \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{2} + \beta \theta(x - \bar{x})\right), \quad (4) \]

where \(a < \bar{x} < b\) is the position of the jump, \(\theta(.)\) is the Heaviside step function and the real \(\beta\) parametrizes the height of the jump. More generally, we take \(w_J > 0\) to be the canonical jump function \(w_J(x) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta_j \theta(x - \bar{x}_j)\) and \(w(x) := w_0(x)w_J(x)\).

The actions of the ladder operators on \(P_n(z)\) and \(P_{n-1}(z)\) are

\[ \left(\frac{d}{dz} + B_n(z)\right) P_n(z) = \beta_n A_n(z) P_{n-1}(z) \]

\[ \left(\frac{d}{dz} - B_n(z) - \nu'_0(z)\right) P_{n-1}(z) = -A_{n-1}(z) P_n(z) \]

\[ A_n(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{R_n(j)}{z - \bar{x}_j} + \frac{1}{h_n} \int_a^b \frac{\nu_0'(z) - \nu_0'(y)}{z - y} P_n^2(y) w(y) dy \]

\[ B_n(z) := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{r_n(j)}{z - \bar{x}_j} + \frac{1}{h_{n-1}} \int_a^b \frac{\nu_0'(z) - \nu_0'(y)}{z - y} P_{n-1}(y) P_n(y) w(y) dy \]

where \(w_0(x) = \exp(-\nu_0(x))\). If \(w(a)\) and \(w(b)\) are non-vanishing one must add

\[ \left. \frac{P_n^2(y) w(y)}{h_n(y - z)} \right|_{y=a}^{b} \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{P_n(y) P_{n-1}(y) w(y)}{h_{n-1}(y - z)} \right|_{y=a}^{b} \]

to (7) and (8) respectively.

Now \(A_n(z)\) and \(B_n(z)\), the coefficient functions in the ladder operators, satisfy identities analogous to those found for smooth weights 3 7 9:

\[ B_{n+1}(z) + B_n(z) = (z - \alpha_n) A_n(z) - \nu'_0(z) \]

\[ B_{n+1}(z) - B_n(z) = \beta_{n+1} A_{n+1}(z) - \beta_n A_{n-1}(z) - 1 \]

\[ R_n(\bar{x}_j) = R_n(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_N, \bar{x}_j) := \frac{\Delta_j}{h_n} P_n^2(\bar{x}_j) w_0(\bar{x}_j) \]

\[ r_n(\bar{x}_j) = r_n(\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_N, \bar{x}_j) := \frac{\Delta_j}{h_{n-1}} P_n(\bar{x}_j) P_{n-1}(\bar{x}_j) w_0(\bar{x}_j) \]
The derivation of (5)-(12) will be published in a forthcoming paper where the weight has several jumps and \( w_0(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha (1 + x)^\beta \), \( x \in [-1, 1] \) is the Jacobi weight.

Multiplying the recurrence relation (8) evaluated at \( z = \tilde{x}_j \) by \( \Delta_j w_0(\tilde{x}_j) P_n(\tilde{x}_j) \) and noting (11) as well as (12) we arrive at the universal equality

\[
(\tilde{x}_j - \alpha_n) R_n(\tilde{x}_j) = r_{n+1}(\tilde{x}_j) + r_n(\tilde{x}_j) .
\]

Similarly, squaring \( r_n(\tilde{x}_j) \) we find a second universal equation

\[
r_n^2(\tilde{x}_j) = \beta_n R_n(\tilde{x}_j) R_{n-1}(\tilde{x}_j) .
\]

Note that in the expressions for \( A_n(z) \) and \( B_n(z) \) only \( v_0 \), the “potential” associated with the smooth reference weight, appears. The discontinuities give rise to \( R_n(\tilde{x}_j) \) and \( r_n(\tilde{x}_j) \).

It is clear from (11) and (12) that if \( v_0(z) \) is rational, then \( A_n(z) \) and \( B_n(z) \) are also rational. This is particularly useful for our purpose which is the determination of the recurrence coefficients, for in this situation by comparing residues on both sides of (9) and (10) we should find the required difference equations [4].

In the following section the above approach is exemplified by the Hermite weight, \( w_0(x) = \exp(-x^2) \) and \( w \) given by (4). It turns out that in this situation \( \alpha_n \) and \( \beta_n \) are related to \( R_n \) and \( r_n \) in a very simple way.

2. Hermite weight with one jump

Now, \( w_0(x) = \exp(-x^2) \), so that \( v_0(x) = x^2 \), and \( w(x) \) as in (4). Also,

\[
R_n := R_n(\tilde{x}) = \beta \frac{P_n^2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})}{h_n(\tilde{x})} w_0(\tilde{x}) \quad (15)
\]

\[
r_n := r_n(\tilde{x}) = \beta \frac{P_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) P_{n-1}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})}{h_{n-1}(\tilde{x})} w_0(\tilde{x}) ,
\]

which are independent of the particular choice of \( w_0 \) and

\[
A_n(z) = \frac{R_n}{z - \tilde{x}} + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad B_n(z) = \frac{r_n}{z - \tilde{x}} ,
\]

particular to \( v_0(x) = x^2 \). Note that \( P_j(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) \) is the value of \( P_j(z, \tilde{x}) \) at \( z = \tilde{x} \).

Instead of proceeding with the full machinery of (9) and (10) we take advantage of the fact that \( v_0(x) = x^2 \). From orthogonality and the recurrence relation, we have

\[
\alpha_n(\tilde{x}) h_n(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v_0'(x) P_n^2(x, \tilde{x}) w(x) dx = \frac{R_n(\tilde{x})}{2} h_n(\tilde{x}) \quad (18)
\]

by integration by parts. The string equation,

\[
h_{n-1}(\tilde{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(x, \tilde{x}) P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x}) w(x) dx ,
\]

is an immediate consequence of the orthogonality condition. Again, an integration by parts and noting that \( \beta_n = h_n/h_{n-1} \) produces

\[
\beta_n(\tilde{x}) = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{r_n(\tilde{x})}{2} .
\]
It should be pointed out here that in general neither the string equation (19) nor (18) will provide the complete set of difference equations for the recurrence coefficients which can be seen if $w_0$ were the Jacobi weight. In such a situation the compatibility conditions (9) and (10) must be used.

Now (13) and (14) become

$$r_{n+1} + r_n = \alpha_n (\tilde{x} - \alpha_n) .$$

and

$$r_n^2 = 2(n + r_n)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-1} .$$

Equations (21) and (22), supplemented by the initial conditions

$$\alpha_0(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\beta}{2} \exp(-\tilde{x}^2) \left[ (1 - \beta/2)\sqrt{\pi} + \beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-t^2)dt \right]^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad r_0(\tilde{x}) = 0 ,$$

can be iterated to determine the recurrence coefficients numerically. Also, explicit solutions to (21) and (22) can be produced for small $n$.

3. Derivative with respect to $\tilde{x}$ and Painlevé IV

If (21) and (22) are combined with the evolution equations to be derived in this section, the Painlevé IV mentioned in the abstract is found. We begin with the $L^2$ norm $h_n(\tilde{x})$, Equation (11), which entails

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}}h_n = -\beta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n^2(x)w_0(x)\delta(x - \tilde{x})dx = -\beta P_n^2(\tilde{x})w_0(\tilde{x}) = -h_n R_n = -2h_n\alpha_n .$$

and thus $\partial_{\tilde{x}}\ln \beta_n = -2(\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1})$ since $\ln \beta_n = \ln h_n - \ln h_{n-1}$. With (20),

$$\frac{1}{2(n + r_n)} \partial_{\tilde{x}}r_n = \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n$$

which is the first Toda equation. Taking the derivative with respect to $\tilde{x}$ of (11) at $n = n - 1$ and using the definition of the monic polynomials (2), then gives $\partial_{\tilde{x}}p_1(n, \tilde{x}) = r_n$ since $p_1(n, \tilde{x}) - p_1(n + 1, \tilde{x}) = \alpha_n(\tilde{x})$ is an immediate consequence of the recurrence relation. Therefore

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}}\alpha_n = r_n - r_{n+1} ,$$

the second Toda equation.

Eliminating $r_{n+1}$ from (21) and the second Toda equation (25), gives $r_n$ in terms of $\alpha_n$ and $\partial_{\tilde{x}}\alpha_n$:

$$r_n = \alpha_n(\tilde{x} - \alpha_n) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\tilde{x}}\alpha_n .$$

Using the first Toda equation (24) to express $\alpha_{n-1}$ in terms of $\alpha_n$ and $\partial_{\tilde{x}}r_n$ and substituting (26) into (22) produces a particular Painlevé IV [3],

$$\alpha_n'' = \frac{(\alpha_n')^2}{2\alpha_n} + 6\alpha_n^3 - 8\tilde{x}\alpha_n^2 + 2(\tilde{x}^2 - (2n + 1))\alpha_n ,$$

(27)
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which can be brought into the canonical form with the replacements $\alpha_n \to \alpha_n/2$ and $\tilde{x} \to -\tilde{x}$. Equation (27) is supplemented by the boundary conditions $\lim_{\tilde{x} \to \pm \infty} \alpha_n(\tilde{x}) = 0$. In a recent paper [6], a Painlevé IV was derived for the discontinuous Hermite weight using an entirely different method.

Based on (23) and (24), the derivative of the logarithm of the Hankel determinant $D_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j$ can be computed as

$$\partial_\tilde{x} \ln D_n = -2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j x = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_j = -\beta \exp(-\tilde{x}^2) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_j^2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})}{h_j(\tilde{x})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

$$\partial^2_\tilde{x} \ln D_n = 2r_n$$ \hspace{1cm} (29)

where (15) has been used in the first line, which can be summed by the Christoffel-Darboux formula,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_j(x, \tilde{x})P_j(y, \tilde{x})}{h_j(\tilde{x})} = \frac{P_n(x, \tilde{x})P_{n-1}(y, \tilde{x}) - P_n(y, \tilde{x})P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x})}{(x-y)h_{n-1}(\tilde{x})}.$$  

In the limit $x \to y$, we find, in general,

$$h_{n-1}(\tilde{x}) \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_j^2(x, \tilde{x})}{h_j(\tilde{x})} = \beta_n A_n(x) P_{n-1}^2(x, \tilde{x}) + A_{n-1}(x) P_{n}^2(x, \tilde{x}) - (2B_n(x) + v_0(x)) P_n(x, \tilde{x}) P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x})$$  

using the the ladder operators [5] and [6]. With (17) this entails

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_j^2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})}{h_j(\tilde{x})} = \frac{1}{h_{n-1}(\tilde{x})} \left( 2\beta_n P_{n-1}^2(x, \tilde{x}) + 2P_n^2(x, \tilde{x}) - 2xP_n(x, \tilde{x})P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x}) \right)$$  

$$+ \frac{1}{(x-\tilde{x})h_{n-1}(\tilde{x})} \left( \beta_n R_n P_{n-1}^2(x, \tilde{x}) + R_{n-1} P_n^2(x, \tilde{x}) - 2r_n P_n(x, \tilde{x})P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x}) \right)$$  

The apparent pole at $x = \tilde{x}$ can be shown to have vanishing residue by considering

$$\beta \exp(-\tilde{x}^2) \left( \beta_n R_n P_{n-1}^2(x, \tilde{x}) + R_{n-1} P_n^2(x, \tilde{x}) - 2r_n P_n(x, \tilde{x})P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x}) \right)/h_{n-1}$$  

$$= (2(n + r_n)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-1} + 2(n + r_n)\alpha_n - 2r_n) = 2(2(n + r_n)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-1} - r_n^2) = 0,$$

where the last equality is due to (22). A further regular term can be found as a contribution from the Taylor series of $P_j(x, \tilde{x})$ about $x = \tilde{x}$ namely,

$$2\frac{\beta_n}{h_{n-1}} R_n P_{n-1}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) P'_{n-1}(\tilde{x}) + 2\frac{R_{n-1}}{h_{n-1}} P_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) P'_n(\tilde{x})$$  

$$- 2\frac{r_n}{h_{n-1}} \partial_\tilde{x} \left( P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x})P_n(x, \tilde{x}) \right)_{x=\tilde{x}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

where $P'_j(\tilde{x}) := \partial_\tilde{x} P_j(x, \tilde{x})|_{x=\tilde{x}}$. Using the fact that $\beta_n = h_n/h_{n-1}$ and (15), we see that the first two terms of (30) combined into $2(r_n/h_{n-1}) \partial_\tilde{x} (P_n(x, \tilde{x}) P_{n-1}(x, \tilde{x}))|_{x=\tilde{x}}$ cancel the third. We are therefore left with the regular term:

$$\partial_\tilde{x} \ln D_n = -\beta \left( 2\frac{\beta_n}{h_{n-1}} R_n P_{n-1}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) + 2\frac{P_{n}^2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})}{h_{n-1}} - 2\tilde{x} \frac{P_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) P_{n-1}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})}{h_{n-1}} \right) \exp(-\tilde{x}^2)$$  

$$= 2\tilde{x} r_n - 2(n + r_n)(\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)
Defining \( \partial \) given by we note that using (24)–(26) it follows from (31) reproduces the second derivative (25), \( \partial^2_x \ln D_n = 2r_n \).

Let \( F_n(\tilde{x}) := -\ln D_n(\tilde{x}) \) be the free energy. Expressing (31) in terms of \( \alpha_n(\tilde{x}) \) and finally in terms of \( \Psi_n(\tilde{x}) \), where \( \alpha_n(\tilde{x}) =: \frac{\beta}{\pi}(\Psi_n(\tilde{x}))^2 \), the free energy reads

\[
F_n(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\tilde{x}} \left( (4n + 1 - 2\tilde{x}^2)\Psi_n^2(x) + 3\beta x\Psi_n^4(x) - \beta^2 \Psi_n^6(x) \right) dx 
\]

where \( F_n(-\infty) \) is the free energy corresponding to \( w(x) = \exp(-x^2) \). Note that \( \exp(-F_n(\pm\infty)) = 2\pi^{n/2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(k)}{2k} \), which gives rise to the sum rule

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( (4n + 1 - 2\tilde{x}^2)\Psi_n^2(x) + 3\beta x\Psi_n^4(x) - \beta^2 \Psi_n^6(x) \right) dx = 0.
\]

With a minor change of variables (29) becomes the Toda molecule equation. First we note that

\[
r_n(\tilde{x}) = 2\beta_n(\tilde{x}) - n \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_n(\tilde{x}) = \frac{h_n(\tilde{x})}{h_{n-1}(\tilde{x})} = \frac{D_{n+1}(\tilde{x})D_{n-1}(\tilde{x})}{(D_n(\tilde{x}))^2}.
\]

Defining \( D_n(\tilde{x}) =: \exp(-n\tilde{x}^2)\bar{D}_n(\tilde{x}) \) it then follows

\[
\partial^2_x \ln \bar{D}_n = 4 \frac{\bar{D}_{n+1}\bar{D}_{n-1}}{(\bar{D}_n)^2}.
\]

We may express \( \alpha_n(\tilde{x}) \) in terms of the derivatives of the free energy, by noting that \( -F_n' = 2\tilde{x}r_n - 2(n + r_n)(\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1}) \) and \( -F_n'' = 2r_n \). One finds with (24)

\[
\alpha_n(\tilde{x}) = \frac{F_n' - \tilde{x}F_n'' + F_n'''/2}{4n - 2F_n''} = \frac{\tilde{x}}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{f_n''' + 2f_n''}{f_n''}.
\]

where \( F_n(\tilde{x}) =: f_n(\tilde{x}) + n\tilde{x}^2 \).

4. Asymptotics and Numerics

For \( \tilde{x} = 0 \) we find the asymptotic expansion

\[
\alpha_n(0) = \frac{b}{\sqrt{2n}} \left[ 1 + (-1)^n \sin(2b \ln n + B) \right. \\
\left. - \frac{1}{4n} (1 + (-1)^n(\sin(2b \ln n + B) - 4b \cos(2b \ln n + B))) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2}) \right]
\]

\[
r_n(0) = -b(-1)^n \cos(2b \ln n + B) - \frac{b^2}{2n} (1 + \sin^2(2b \ln n + B)) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-2})
\]

guided by the numerics on the difference equations (21) and (22). The constant \( b \) is given by

\[
b := \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{1 + \beta/2}{1 - \beta/2} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{\beta}{2} \in (-1, 1)
\]
and $B$ is a phase independent of $n$. Unfortunately, the formalism developed in this paper does not seem to shed any light on its delicate dependence on $\beta$.

It can be verified by a direct calculation that (32) and (33) satisfy (21) and (22) to order $1/n^2$. The top panel of figure 1 shows a comparison between the numerical results and the above asymptotes for suitably rescaled $\alpha_n$. In principle, $\alpha_n$ and $r_n$ can be determined analytically to any order in $n$ because an approximation of $\alpha_n$ to order $n^{-m}$ gives rise to a difference equation for $r_n$ to order $n^{-(m+1)}$ via (21). In turn, $r_n$ to order $n^{-(m+1)}$ produces an equation for $\alpha_n$ to order $n^{-(m+1)}$ and so forth. This scheme breaks down for $\tilde{x} \neq 0$.

For fixed $\tilde{x} \neq 0$, the numerics does not suggest an ansatz for the asymptotes. Most remarkably, the effect of $\tilde{x} \neq 0$ persists for very large $n$, even for very small $\tilde{x} \neq 0$, as illustrated in figure 1. Also shown in this figure as dashed (dotted) lines are the approximations of $\alpha_n(\tilde{x})$ from the first three (two) terms of a Taylor-series in $\tilde{x}$ around $\tilde{x} = 0$ based on the iterative results for $\alpha_n(\tilde{x} = 0)$, (25) and (27). In principle, the Painlevé IV, Equation (27), provides a way to express $\alpha_n^{(m)}(\tilde{x})$ in terms of lower order
derivatives $\alpha_n^{(m')}(\tilde{x})$ with $m' < m$, yet the results in figure 1 suggest that for sufficiently large $n$ a finite Taylor series eventually deviates wildly from the correct $\alpha_n(\tilde{x})$. Note that both $\sqrt{n}\alpha_n(\tilde{x})$ and $r_n(\tilde{x})$ are bounded in $n$ for large $n$.

Figure 2 shows the rescaled $\alpha_n$ for fixed $n$ and varying $\tilde{x}$. It resembles a Hermite polynomial because of its direct relation to $P^2_n(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x})w_0(\tilde{x})$, (18) and (15). For the same reason $\alpha_n(\tilde{x})$ vanishes for $n \ln(\tilde{x}) \ll \tilde{x}^2/2$.
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